1	United STATES	DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
3	BEFORE THE HONORABLE	JEFFREY S. WHITE, JUDGE
4		
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
6	Plaintiff,	
7	v.	No. CR 10-00245(JSW)
8	KENNETH MARTIN KYLE,	
9	Defendant.) San Francisco, California Thursday, October 13, 2011 (11 pages)
11)
12		
13	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
14	APPEARANCES:	
15	For Plaintiff: OWEN PETE	D MADTIKAN
16	United Sta	ates Attorney's Office
17	9th Floor	
18	San Franc.	isco, California 94102
19	For Defendant: DAVID MIC	HAEL BIGELEISEN
20	David M. 1	Bigeleisen Law Office
21	101 Howard Suite 310	
22	San Franc	isco, CA 94105
23		
24	Also Present: CHARLIE M U.S. Prob	
25		

1	Thursday, October 13, 2011	
2	(2:30 p.m.)	
3	(Defendant is present in open court)	
4	THE CLERK: Calling Cr. 10-245, United States versus	
5	Kenneth Martin Kyle.	
6	Counsel, please step forward and state your	
7	appearances.	
8	MR. MARTIKAN: Good afternoon, your Honor. Owen	
9	Martikan for the United States.	
10	MR. BIGELEISEN: Good afternoon, your Honor. David	
11	Michael Bigeleisen for Kenneth Kyle.	
12	THE COURT: Good afternoon, Counsel.	
13	MR. MABIE: Good afternoon, your Honor. Charlie	
14	Mabie, U.S. Probation.	
15	THE COURT: Welcome, Mr. Mabie.	
16	All right. Mr. Kyle has arrived.	
17	Good afternoon, Mr. Kyle.	
18	THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon.	
19	THE COURT: All right. So we're here. The case was	
20	scheduled for judgment and sentencing, and this past Tuesday,	
21	the Court issued an order advising the parties that the Court	
22	has read and considered the plea agreement, the C1C plea	
23	agreement and has determined it's going to reject the plea	
24	agreement as being too lenient. So I ordered the parties here	
25	to advise the Court of where they wish to go from this point	

on.

2.2

One issue that needs to be cleared up that's sort of -- it's more than a technical issue is there seems to be a discrepancy between the plea agreement and the presentence report with respect to the total offense, adjusted offense level. The probation department has determined it's at 41 and the parties have determined that it's going to change the plea agreement so that it's a 39.

So, Mr. Martikan, what's your position on that?

MR. MARTIKAN: Your Honor, the Government's position is that the PSR calculation is correct. As noted in our sentencing memorandum, I initially looked at the starting offense levels and — comparing which one was higher. I think it is correct the way probation has calculated, which is to look at the net offense level to determine what is higher and that leads to the different result that we had in this case.

THE COURT: Well, if the case proceeds, then that certainly would need to be corrected.

But, Mr. Kyle, the law requires me to address you directly pursuant to Rule 11-C. The Court has determined that it's going to reject the plea agreement. It's going to reject the agreement in effect that you be sentenced to only 30 years in prison. With respect to that -- as a result of that, you have your right to withdraw your guilty plea and start all over, go to trial, or attempt to negotiate a different

2.2

agreement with the Court, or you could decide to maintain your guilty plea, in which case the Court could sentence you to any lawful sentence up to and including the statutory maximum of life imprisonment.

So, Mr. Bigeleisen, are you prepared to advise the Court or do you need more time?

MR. BIGELEISEN: Your Honor, I'd like to ask the Court a few questions, and the first question is whether the Court will allow me to address the matter of the Court's decision to reject the plea agreement and to utter the things that I would say on Mr. Kyle's behalf in favor of the plea agreement.

THE COURT: Well, let me just say this: The short answer to the question is no. The longer answer is I've considered your arguments in your very well-written presentence memorandum, and that of the Government as well, because the Government took a balanced approach in support of the plea agreement, the plea negotiations and the agreement that was reached.

But having reviewed all of the documentation, and especially the victim impact statement and the additional material that there's no argument about that at this point — and the Court should say that this morning, probation received, from the adoptive mother of the victim girl, a communication which I've made available to counsel.

```
1
                 MR. BIGELEISEN: I'm sorry, your Honor, I haven't
 2
       seen it.
 3
                 THE COURT: Well, it's directed to be given to
       counsel. It should be given to you. I don't know why it
 4
 5
       wasn't given to counsel.
 6
                MR. BIGELEISEN: I want you to know I haven't seen
 7
       it.
                 THE COURT: All right. Well, you will get a copy of
 8
 9
           But it's of the same tenor as what the adoptive mother has
10
       communicated to you before.
11
                 Do you have a copy of that?
12
                MR. MABIE: Yes, your Honor, your secretary had the
13
       other ones that she ran.
                 THE COURT: She's not a secretary. She's a law
14
15
              Don't let her hear you say that or you'll be in big
       clerk.
16
       trouble.
17
                             I apologize, your Honor.
                 MR. MABIE:
18
                 THE COURT: Yes. All right.
19
                 Would you hand that (tendering document)...
20
                 MR. BIGELEISEN: May I have just a minute to read it,
21
       please.
2.2
                 THE COURT: Please do.
23
                 MR. BIGELEISEN: Thank you.
24
                 (Pause in proceedings)
25
                 THE COURT: All right. And I thought that this --
```

2.2

although, it's correct, that we did not -- Mr. Mabie did not receive this until this morning. It's not a different, any different tenor than what the adoptive mother has said before but I thought it would be helpful for you, Mr. Kyle, in determining what you want to do to hear some of this. Because in the first paragraph, the mother says, the adoptive mother of this victim child says to you, it is to you, "You are nothing, a small empty shell, a monster who did its very best to suck the soul of my child, my daughter, my smart, loving, beautiful daughter."

That's what she says. And the Court shares her sentiment, and that is going to be the theme when the Court, if ever, and you may be acquitted, you may decide to go to trial, but if you are convicted, the seriousness of what you did to this little girl has to be reflected in the sentence, and the seriousness of some of the comments that you made that were fantasies has to be part of the sentence, and the seriousness of the uncharged conduct, all the child pornography that you possess, which is not part of the guideline calculation has to be taken into account.

So with that said, would you like more time? Would you like to continue the matter?

MR. BIGELEISEN: Your Honor, I would like to ask the Court a question, and the question is a bit indecorous, and I do so with some trepidation, and I ask the Court to forgive me.

Please forgive me.

2.2

I've spoken with Mr. Kyle yesterday evening about the Court's order of Tuesday, and he's asked me to ask this as well, perhaps it will be helpful. If the Court feels comfortable giving us an indication of what it has in mind, we would be very grateful, and if Court finds that it's improper for me to speak in that way, please find fault with me and not with Mr. Kyle.

because it's a perfectly appropriate question. The problem is this: The Court is not permitted — it's highly different in state — it's very different in state court proceedings to get involved in plea negotiations, and if the Court were to give a number or a specific indication, a specific number or specific sentence, then the Court would be involved in plea negotiations. And also it would be unfair to the defendant because, obviously, although the Court has decided, based upon the record before it, to reject the plea agreement and the sentence agreed to by the parties, once their allocation takes place and the argument takes place, the Court will then impose a sentence based upon, not only a record as it currently exists, but all the other arguments that you would have made in support of the plea agreement.

I will just say this: I think that this is a case that does not warrant a guideline sentence. It warrants an

2.2

above-guideline sentence, substantially above-guideline sentence, and not necessarily the statutory maximum, but all I can tell you is that an upward variance — this case, as far as an upward variance, based upon the record as we currently see it — and I'm keeping an open mind with respect to what I will ultimately do, and you may very well convince me that a guideline sentence is appropriate in this case.

So that's the only guidance I think I can get in that I absolutely -- you shouldn't have trepidation. That is a perfectly fair question in representing your client, and obviously it's important for him to know, so that's an immaterial factor, and that's the only reason I have not answered your question.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ BIGELEISEN: Your Honor, I'm prepared to respond to your question.

Mr. Kyle has instructed me to withdraw his plea of guilty under the circumstances. I think that we would like to have an opportunity to revisit the matter. And he does want to resolve this matter. He does want to resolve this matter. He does not want to challenge any of the people who are in this matter. He wants to go forward. He wants to bring it to an end. There are all of the words which I would otherwise say — which, you know, which are in keeping with our time of repentance and forgiveness and which obviously will come up later — but he's asked me to withdraw his plea of guilty.

```
1
                 THE COURT: Well, repentance -- if you're referring
 2
       to the religious part of --
                 MR. BIGELEISEN: Of course.
 3
                 THE COURT: -- repentance and forgiveness with God,
 4
 5
       not with other individuals, for them, they have to forgive you.
 6
       What you're talking about is making peace with your Maker,
 7
       rather than with people.
                 MR. BIGELEISEN: Well, one also makes peace with
 8
 9
       those that one has offended and one makes peace with one's
10
       self, as well.
11
                 THE COURT: Fair enough.
12
                 MR. BIGELEISEN: Those are all components of it, and
       when we think of those, we think of them every day.
13
                 THE COURT: Fair enough.
14
15
                 And so how much time would you like?
                 First of all, the motion to withdraw the guilty plea
16
17
       is granted. The guilty plea is thereby stricken.
18
                 How much time would you like now?
19
                 MR. BIGELEISEN: Your Honor, I think that it would be
       neat for Mr. Martikan and me to discuss what we will do next
20
21
       and return to the Court and inform the Court, and depending
2.2
       upon what we have to say to make a decision as to what we will
23
       do next and when.
24
                 THE COURT: Okay. Fair enough.
25
                Mr. Martikan, I haven't given you chance to speak.
```

1 MR. MARTIKAN: Well, thank you, your Honor. I think 2 that makes sense. Let me discuss with defense counsel some 3 matters, and I think that within a week, we can get a proposed 4 order to the Court to reset the matter in a suitable time on 5 the Court's calendar. 6 THE COURT: All right. So then what I'll do is I'll 7 order -- is the next couple of weeks acceptable to you, Mr. Bigeleisen? 8 9 MR. BIGELEISEN: I think that -- I don't think that 10 we are going to know what we are going to do next. 11 THE COURT: No. That's not what he is saying. He's 12 saying in a week, you'll know a date by which you will know 13 that. MR. BIGELEISEN: Yes, of course. 14 15 THE COURT: Let me set a deadline of one week from today, which would be the 19th or the 20th of October, to file 16 17 a joint status report requesting -- telling the Court what counsel -- what how much more time counsel needs --18 19 MR. BIGELEISEN: Very well. 20 THE COURT: -- to get to the next level. The next 21 level will either be the entry of a new or different plea 2.2 agreement, quilty plea or setting the case for trial, and that 23 would be the options or motions, if counsel sees that as 24 appropriate, either one. 25 All right. Anything further?

1	MR. BIGELEISEN: No. Thank you very much, your
2	Honor.
3	MR. MARTIKAN: Thank you, your Honor.
4	MR. MABIE: Thank you, your Honor.
5	The COURT: Thank you very much.
6	
7	<u>CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER</u>
8	"I, Jaimie Hopp, certify that the foregoing is a correct
9	transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter."
	\bigcap 21
11 10	1 Marco
12	
13	Jaimie Hopp, Pro Tem Court Reporter
14	Thursday, July 12, 2012
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	